

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERGE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/018,297 03/11/2002		03/11/2002	Osamu Kobayashi	P67421USO	1654	
136	7590	11/04/2003		EXAMINER		
JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC 400 SEVENTH STREET N.W.				PATEL, VISHAL A		
SUITE 600	III SIKE	L1 14.44.		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			3676			

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/018,297	KOBAYASHI ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit					
	Vishal Patel	3676					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 23 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appetexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice I) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a chiplaces the application in					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	\					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in					
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-16.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other:		I the					
	[M	Anthony Kalahi					
	Super	Anthoný Knight visory Patent Examiner Group 3600					

Application No.

Continuation Sh et (PTOL-303) 10/018,297

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Adding the limitation to the independent claim 1 substantially changes claims 4-11 and 12-16, since claims 4 11 are dependent on claim 1 now which is changed to include claim language from claim 2. Furthermore even if this limitation was considered there would require a further rejection for the claims. Even furthermore adding this limitations to the claims raises new issues, further consideration and/or search.